

DIESEL SIP WORKGROUP
SUMMARY OF STRATEGIES FOR
STATIONARY DIESEL SOURCES (updated 8/16/05)

Criteria for evaluating each measure:

- Environmental Benefits
- Technical Feasibility
- Economic Feasibility
- Implementation Feasibility
- Societal Benefits/Env Justice
- Enforceability

| DESCRIPTION OF STRATEGY | PROS | CONS |
|--|---|--|
| Proposed changes to Nox RACT rules (Subchapter 19) would require that all permitted generators use ULSD in 2007. Could extend to <1mmBTU by amending definition in Subchapter 8. | Should be verifiable reduction in PM, Nox. Use of ULSD does not pose any performance or supply concerns (other states are pursuing this strategy as well) Other states have implemented | Only applies to generators over 1 million BTUs, approx. 1500 permits, which misses half or more of universe Slightly higher cost (.05-.10) |
| Require that use of ULSD be a criteria for getting a general permit for new emergency generators | Would provide an incentive to use ULSD (less reporting) General permits will require ULSD (with no rule change) for non-emergency generators | This might conflict with current criteria for general permits |
| Add fuel requirement for sulfur content to fuel subchapter 9. | Would apply to all generators, not based on size (including emergency) or use so could potentially pull in grandfathered sources. | May need separate fuel storage for separate uses (boiler, emergency generator, etc.). Are recordkeeping and random samples sufficient for Enforcement? |
| Develop contract requirement that ULSD be used in all state contracts including sources <1 mil BTU (e.g., building leases) | Might incentivize switch to ULSD | Not known how many contract or pieces of equipment would be affected (may accomplish same thing as already proposed Nox RACT changes) |
| Require or provide incentives to retrofit with control devices or replace (emergency gens should be exempt) | Could be used on regular generators with new or modified sources Verifiable reductions of Nox, PM (60%) | Not know how cost-effective Need to use ULSD Old engines can't use DPFs |
| DEP Proposed New Source Performance Standard, Nox RACT for down to 50 hp engines (June 2005) | | |
| Scrappage/incentives for replacement of higher emitting engines with Selective Catalytic Reduction/urea control systems | Less regulatory burden, less operational requirements for emergency generators Applies to >5 ton/year | Cost Diesel would need 90% reduction May be cost-effective for PM/Nox |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| Limited amnesty for grandfathered generators to upgrade without triggering State of the Art requirements. | New models are far less polluting. Low cost to department. Financial incentive for owners because newer ones are more fuel efficient. | Can't be a federal requirement Unknown universe |
| Nox trading of stationary with mobile retrofits using new Nox RACT rules as the incentive/trigger | Gives facilities more operational flexibility | EPA doesn't like facility level cap like this might be |
| Put generators/compressors on timers (make this a permit condition?) | Very inexpensive, low tech | Hard to predict emission reductions |
| Converting diesel generators to natural gas fueled generators | Gas is cheaper, less polluting, less permit requirements | Bigger engine, possibly more Nox? No amassed supply of gas onsite for emergency use. |